

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/531,648	04/15/2005	Gerard Coudert	SERVIER 453 PCT	1540	
	7590 04/11/200 HUESCHEN AND SA	EXAMINER			
SEVENTH FLO	OOR, KALAMAZOO	HABTE, KAHSAY			
KALAMAZOO	CHIGAN AVENUE , MI 49007		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1624		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D	AYS	04/11/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<i>;</i>		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/531,64	8	COUDERT ET AL	<del>.</del> .			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kahsay Ha		1624				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply with the Set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the mixed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CONTROPTH R 1.136(a). In no even riod will apply and will atute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be timed to be spire SIX (6) MONTHS from the ication to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				•			
,	•	——· This action is n	on-final.					
3)□								
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 14-28 is/are pending in the applica	ation.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without		nsideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•								
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 14-28 are subject to restriction and	d/or election re	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b)	objected to by the I	Examiner.	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is require	ed if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have bee	n received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority docume	ents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule	e 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certi	fied copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
	rr No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	<b>44</b>				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 14-28 are pending in this application.

## Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 14-25, drawn to benzo[a]pyrrolo[3,4-c]phenoxazines or benzo[e]pyrido[1,4]-oxazino[3,2-g]isoindoles.

Group II, claim(s) 26-28, drawn to tetracyclio 1,4-oxazine compounds.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature of Group I is benzo[a]pyrrolo[3,4-c]phenoxazines or benzo[e]pyrido[1,4]-oxazino[3,2-g]isoindoles rings (pentacyclic) that is not present in the special technical feature of Group II. The special technical feature of Group II is a tetracyclo 1,4-oxazine ring and is different from the special technical feature of Group II.

A telephone call was made to to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte whose telephone number is (571) 272-0667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1624

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kahsay Habte Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1624

April 4, 2007